



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/025,155	02/18/98	CRAGUN	B R09-97-195

ANDREW J DILLON  
FELSMAN BRADLEY GUNTER & DILLON  
SUITE 350 LAKEWOOD ON THE PARK  
7600B NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY  
AUSTIN TX 78731

LM02/0216

EXAMINER

BULLOCK JR, L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2755

DATE MAILED:

02/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/025,155**

Applicant(s)  
**Cragun, Brian John**

Examiner  
**Lewis Bullock, Jr.**

Group Art Unit  
**2755**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lewis Bullock, Jr. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Michael Bara (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 1, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Judson

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant argues that the invention details that the during document retrieval the browser switches to another application and it cannot be seen how Judson applies to this concept. The examiner contends that Judson teaches that an information object can be applets, sound, print jobs. Judson teaches retrieving and executing an information object as a background process while retrieving a document. Therefore, Judson does teach the invention. The applicant will correct 112 problems and maintain his position. The interview concluded.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**ALVIN E. OBERLEY**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2700**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.